

# **RatingsDirect**<sup>®</sup>

# Summary:

# El Toro Water District Financing Authority, California; Water/Sewer

.....

Primary Credit Analyst: Jaime Blansit, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4279; jaime.blansit@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact: Malcolm N D'Silva, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4526; malcolm.dsilva@spglobal.com

# **Table Of Contents**

**Rating Action** 

Stable Outlook

**Credit Opinion** 

**Related Research** 

### **Summary:**

# El Toro Water District Financing Authority, California; Water/Sewer

#### Credit Profile

US\$42.68 mil wtr & wastewtr rev bnds ser 2022A due 06/01/2052 Long Term Rating AA/Stable

New

# **Rating Action**

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' long-term rating to El Toro Water District Financing Authority, Calif.'s \$42.7 million series 2022 water and wastewater revenue bonds. The outlook is stable.

The bonds are being issued to refund the authority's 2010, 2013, and 2018 state revolving fund agreements and fund capital improvements to the systems. Post issuance, the district will have approximately \$50 million of obligations outstanding. The bonds are secured by the district's net revenue, including a 1% ad valorem property tax. We view the bond provisions as credit neutral, including a rate covenant and additional bonds test of 1.1x annual debt service.

#### Credit overview

The district benefits from an affluent, primarily residential customer base, with affordable service rates and access to the Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim, metropolitan statistical area economy. In our view, the system's reliance on imported water from the Municipal Water District of Orange County (MWDOC), a participant to the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) of Southern California, exposes the district to wholesale water supply cost increases. We view the district's large, recycled water program as a mitigating factor, but this accounts for just 18% of the total water supplied to El Toro's service area. We have also adjusted positively for the district's rate-setting practices and regional partnerships, which provide credit support. A large portion of the monthly charges are fixed to cover all operational expenses, including capital replacement. Combined with affordable rates in the area, we anticipate this flexibility will provide stability to El Toro's finances and absorb rising costs. Regional partnerships with Irvine Ranch Water District (MNWD) provide water supply reliability and diversification opportunities.

In our opinion, the credit drivers are:

- Competitive and affordable customer rates given the stable and wealthy customer base;
- All-in debt service coverage (DSC) which has ranged from 1.1x-1.4x in the past three fiscal years and which we expect will remain at approximately 1.3x in the long term based on management projections;
- Unrestricted liquidity of about \$19.9 million as of June 30, 2021, equating to 312 days of operating expenses, which we expect will remain strong given 75% of capital improvements will be funded through debt proceeds; and
- Comprehensive and proactive management practices and policies, represented by adequate water supply and wastewater treatment capacity to meet demand as well as long-term financial plans.

The stable outlook reflects our view that management will continue to increase rates to fund its moderate capital plan and maintain financial metrics supportive of the current rating. The outlook also reflects our opinion of the strong service area economy and management policies that we believe anchor the credit stability.

#### Environmental, social, and governance

California utilities are exposed to elevated environmental physical risks related to climate change, including wildfires, drought, and sea-level rise. The district has comprehensive long-range plans, drought risk assessments and water-shortage contingencies to mitigate growing environmental risks. The district has reduced some of its exposure to imported water through development of recycled water. In addition, the utility's wholesale suppliers such as MWDOC and MNWD actively maintain water-diversification projects, which we anticipate will lessen the long-term environmental effects on El Toro. We do not believe social risk is elevated compared with that of peers, given the wealth levels in the service area. Anticipated rate increases will not significantly pressure the rate affordability. Finally, we view the district's governance factors, including its long-term planning and credit-supportive rate-setting practices, as in line with those of other similarly rated utilities.

### **Stable Outlook**

#### Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if all-in coverage declines below levels seen in management's forecast, due to unforeseen capital expenditures or a significant drawdown in cash.

#### Upside scenario

We do not anticipate raising the rating in the two-year outlook horizon, but in the long term we could raise the rating if financial metrics exceed projections, despite rising water supply costs.

## **Credit Opinion**

#### Enterprise profile: Extremely strong

The district provides water and sewer services to 48,000 residents in the City of Laguna Woods and portions of Aliso Viejo, Laguna Hills, Lake Forest, and Mission Viejo. Situated in southern Orange County, the district is built out and primarily residential, with little fluctuation in the number of its residents. We view the service area's income levels as very strong based on the median household effective buying income (MHHEBI) of 137% of the national median. While the leading 10 customers, primarily homeowner associations, account for approximately 40% of the water and sewer sales, we don't consider this as highly concentrated given they ultimately represent a large, diverse base of residential customers.

The district's combined water and wastewater rates are affordable given affluent income metrics and low county poverty rate, and we believe the district has future rate-setting flexibility. The district's water rate structure has a large, fixed component, set to cover all operating and maintenance expenses. The tiered commodity rate accounts for variable wholesale water supply costs. Ultimately, we believe that the district's rate-setting autonomy enables it to set rates to cover its costs. We consider the combined bill to be affordable at about 1.6% of MHHEBI.

The district's potable water supply is reliant on imported water supply, 63% of which is already treated, while the remaining is treated at the local Baker Water Treatment Plant. Approximately 80% of the district's water is obtained from MWD through MWDOC or MNWD. The district's remaining supply totaling approximately 20% of the district's water, is recycled water from El Toro's water recycling plant. The district is considering diversification of the future water supply through either recycled water expansion or water desalination projects. The district has sufficient wastewater treatment capacity to meet continued demand and is in compliance with all environmental regulations.

#### Financial profile: Strong

We view the district's all-in DSC as moderately strong, and we expect coverage will be maintained at historical levels given management's practice of annual rate increases. All-in coverage is our internally adjusted DSC metric that we believe best tracks the use of every dollar of utility operating revenue, regardless of lien position, accounting treatment, or ultimate purpose. It also incorporates recognition of fixed charges or costs, which we define as certain long-term recurring items that are debtlike in nature, even if legally treated as an operating expense. For the district, we include fixed costs associated with water supply from MWDOC, which ultimately comes from MWD. During the past three fiscal years, all-in coverage averaged about 1.2x. Based on management projections, we anticipate that all-in coverage will remain at approximately 1.3x over the next five years. Projections incorporate planned rate increases, future debt plans, and rising costs associated with wholesalers.

The district has historically maintained a strong liquidity position, and we anticipate it will likely continue to do so. At fiscal year-end 2021 (unaudited), El Toro held unrestricted cash and investments of \$19.9 million, or 312 days of operating expenses. This is consistent with historical years, where the district has sustained over 200 days operating cash on hand. Management does not expect to materially draw down on cash. We understand that the district has a robust liquidity policy including maintaining a minimum of \$8.5 million cash reserve.

The district's capital improvement plan is moderate, in our view, at about \$34.2 million during the next five years. Its pro forma debt-to-capitalization ratio is manageable, in our view, at about 50%.

# **Related Research**

Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.